

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding final Office Action mailed June 2, 2005. Through this response, claims 1-36 have been canceled without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer, and claims 37-66 have been added. Reconsideration and allowance of the application and presently pending claims are respectfully requested.

I. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

A. Statement of the Rejections

The Office Action indicates that claims 1-10, 12-21, and 23-32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over *Dardailler*, (Textual Equivalents 1998) in view of *Raz* and *Abbott*. The Office Action also indicates that claims 11, 22, and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over *Dardailler*, *Raz* and *Abbott* and further in view of *Quass*. The Office Action further indicates that claims 34 – 36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly unpatentable over *Dardailler*, *Raz* and *Abbott* and further in view of Microsoft® Outlook 2000 (1999, hereafter Outlook). In view of the cancellation of claims 1-36, Applicants respectfully submit that the claim rejections are moot. Applicants address the rejections in the context of the new independent claims below.

B. Discussion of the Rejection

As has been acknowledged by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) has the burden under section 103 to establish a proper case of obviousness by showing some objective teaching in the prior art or generally available knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art that would lead

that individual to the claimed invention. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1598 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Accordingly, to make a proper case for obviousness, there must be a prior art teaching or established knowledge that would suggest to a person having ordinary skill in the pertinent art to fill the voids apparent in the applied reference. It is respectfully asserted that no such case has been made in the outstanding Office Action.

Independent Claim 37

Claim 37 recites (with emphasis added):

37. A system comprising:

a browser having an applications program interface (API) and a user identification (ID) coupled to the API, the user ID comprising a reference to a user profile associated with a profile store, ***the user profile comprising a reference to a graphics store and a composition store associated with a user;***

a user profile server comprising the profile store, wherein the profile store comprises user specific data;

a graphics server comprising the graphics store, wherein the graphics store is configured to enable network access by the browser of one or more graphics; and

a composition server comprising the composition store, wherein the composition store comprises one or more compositions that determine the manner in which the one or more graphics are mapped into a series of web pages,

wherein the browser is coupled over a network to the user profile server, the graphics server, and the composition server, and wherein the API is configured to make the user specific data and the one or more graphics formatted according to the one or more compositions available to a web service.

Applicants respectfully submit that the combination of references fails to disclose, teach, or suggest at least the emphasized claim features. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection to independent claim 37 be withdrawn.

Because independent claim 37 is allowable over the art of record, dependent claims 38-54 are allowable as a matter of law for at least the reason that the dependent

claims 38-54 contain all elements of their respective base claim. See, *e.g.*, *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Independent Claim 55

Claim 55 recites (with emphasis added):

55. A system comprising:

a browser;

a destination service;

an authentication server, the destination service configured to direct the browser to the authentication web site to identify a user, the authentication server configured to identify the user and direct the browser to the destination service with a reference to a user profile of the user associated with a profile store, *the user profile comprising a reference to a graphics store, a composition store, and a composition associated with the user;*

a user profile server comprising the profile store, wherein the profile store comprises user specific data;

a graphics server comprising the graphics store, wherein the graphics store is configured to enable network access by the browser of one or more graphics; and

a composition server comprising the composition store, wherein the composition store comprises one or more compositions that determine the manner in which the one or more graphics are mapped into a series of web pages,

wherein the browser is coupled over a network to the user profile server, the graphics server, and the composition server, and wherein the destination service is configured to make the user specific data and the one or more graphics formatted according to the one or more compositions available to a web service.

Applicants respectfully submit that the combination of references fails to disclose, teach, or suggest at least the emphasized claim features. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection to independent claim 55 be withdrawn.

Independent Claim 56

Claim 56 recites (with emphasis added):

56. A method for providing user-specific web pages, comprising:

receiving web content;

responsive to activation by the web content:

creating one or more graphics and storing the same in a network accessible graphics store;

creating one or more compositions corresponding to the manner in which the one or more graphics are mapped into web pages and storing the same in a network accessible composition store;

accessing a user profile, the user profile associated with a network accessible profile store, the user profile comprising a reference to the graphics store, the composition store, and the one or more compositions;
and

presenting the web pages with data specific to a user corresponding to the user profile and with the one or more graphics formatted based on the one or more compositions.

Applicants respectfully submit that the combination of references fails to disclose, teach, or suggest at least the emphasized claim features. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection to independent claim 56 be withdrawn.

Because independent claim 56 is allowable over the art of record, dependent claims 57-65 are allowable as a matter of law.

Independent Claim 66

Claim 66 recites (with emphasis added):

66. A system comprising:

means for receiving web content;

responsive to activation by the web content:

means for creating one or more graphics and storing the same in a network accessible graphics store and creating one or more compositions corresponding to the manner in which the one or more graphics are mapped into web pages and storing the same in a network accessible composition store;

means for accessing a user profile, the user profile associated with a network accessible profile store, the user profile comprising a reference to the graphics store, the composition store, and the one or more compositions; and


means presenting the web pages with data specific to a user corresponding to the user profile and with the one or more graphics formatted based on the one or more compositions.

Applicants respectfully submit that the combination of references fails to disclose, teach, or suggest at least the emphasized claim features. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection to independent claim 66 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that Applicants' pending claims are in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite the examination of this matter, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (770) 933-9500.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David Rodack', is written over a horizontal line.

David Rodack, Reg. No. 47,034